

S.E.M.P.s Meeting.

14/4/08

①

Attendees

Ed Thomson

Ian Ligard

Neil Mackenzie

Robert McLauchlan

John Pearson

Geoff Gilmanin

Mike Whyte.

Me to carry out condition surveys and back them up with schedules of condition and costings (from Q.S.) of works required.

John to confirm that Q.S. have resources.

Ian confirmed that Mike Whyte's condition survey to be used for S.E.M.P.s and A.M.P.s for operational buildings.

Ian again asked for ~~the~~ access to ~~the~~ workings behind Mike's condition survey sheets and Mike was not forthcoming.

At this point I stated that I wasn't prepared to waste any more time trying to move the development of the condition survey with De Cal forward.

Also took this opportunity to inform everyone present that Mike had informed me during a heated phone call conversation that he had changed the "B" scoring that Cassie achieved on my condition survey to a "C".

②

This, I explained to everyone present, I found preposterous. I asked Mike and Geoff if they could explain how they could justify this and they blamed it on the condition of the village hall.

I reminded them that the government guidance clearly states that a building predominantly ~~used~~ for use other than by the school should not impact on the condition rating affixed to a school.

I explained to all present that I was less than happy with this and that I hoped that this would not be used to strengthen a case, if there is one, to close the school.

Not happy at Education altering scores. Will raise this with Ian and John again.

Ed Thomson.

14/4/08.